

# CS221 Problem Workout

Week 2

## 1) [CA session] Problem 1: Least-Squares Linear Regression

In last week's module we studied the linear regression algorithm, which solves a regression problem using a linear predictor via optimizing the objective

$$\text{TrainLoss}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}) - y)^2. \quad (1)$$

The training loss was minimized via gradient descent, which works iteratively to decrease the training loss. As mentioned in the module, we can actually solve for the optimal weights  $\mathbf{w}^*$  in closed-form. In this problem we will derive the *normal equations* used to solve for this estimator.

## 2) [CA session] Problem 2: Non-linear features

Consider the following two training datasets of  $(x, y)$  pairs:

- $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{(-1, +1), (0, -1), (1, +1)\}$ .
- $\mathcal{D}_2 = \{(-1, -1), (0, +1), (1, -1)\}$ .

Observe that neither dataset is linearly separable if we use  $\phi(x) = x$ , so let's fix that.

Define a two-dimensional feature function  $\phi(x)$  such that:

- There exists a weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_1$  that classifies  $\mathcal{D}_1$  perfectly (meaning that  $\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \phi(x) > 0$  if  $x$  is labeled  $+1$  and  $\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \phi(x) < 0$  if  $x$  is labeled  $-1$ ); and
- There exists a weight vector  $\mathbf{w}_2$  that classifies  $\mathcal{D}_2$  perfectly.

Note that the weight vectors can be different for the two datasets, but the features  $\phi(x)$  must be the same.

Some additional food for thought: Is every dataset linearly separable in some feature space? In other words, given pairs  $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)$ , can we find a feature extractor  $\phi$  such that we can perfectly classify  $(\phi(\mathbf{x}_1), y_1), \dots, (\phi(\mathbf{x}_n), y_n)$  for some linear model  $\mathbf{w}$ ? If so, is this a good feature extractor to use?

### 3) [CA session] Problem 3: Backpropagation

Consider the following function

$$\text{Loss}(x, y, z, w) = 2(xy + \max\{w, z\})$$

Run the backpropagation algorithm to compute the four gradients (each with respect to one of the individual variables) at  $x = 3$ ,  $y = -4$ ,  $z = 2$  and  $w = -1$ . Use the following nodes: addition, multiplication, max, multiplication by a constant.

4) [breakout, optional] **Problem 4: Non-linear decision boundaries**

Suppose we are performing classification where the input points are of the form  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . We can choose any subset of the following set of features:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1x_2, x_1, x_2, \frac{1}{x_1}, \frac{1}{x_2}, 1, \mathbf{1}[x_1 \geq 0], \mathbf{1}[x_2 \geq 0] \right\} \quad (2)$$

For each subset of features  $F \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , let  $D(F)$  be the set of all decision boundaries corresponding to linear classifiers that use features  $F$ .

For each of the following sets of decision boundaries  $E$ , provide the minimal  $F$  such that  $D(F) \supseteq E$ . If no such  $F$  exists, write ‘none’.

- $E$  is all lines [CA hint]:

---

(3)

- $E$  is all circles centered at the origin:

---

(4)

- $E$  is all circles:

---

(5)

- $E$  is all axis-aligned rectangles:

---

(6)

- $E$  is all axis-aligned rectangles whose lower-right corner is at  $(0, 0)$ :

---

(7)

5) [breakout, optional] **Problem 5: K-means**

Consider doing ordinary  $K$ -means clustering with  $K = 2$  clusters on the following set of 3 one-dimensional points:

$$\{-2, 0, 10\}. \tag{8}$$

Recall that  $K$ -means can get stuck in local optima. Describe the precise conditions on the initialization  $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that running  $K$ -means will yield the global optimum of the objective function. Notes:

- Assume that  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ .
- Assume that if in step 1 of  $K$ -means, no points are assigned to some cluster  $j$ , then in step 2, that centroid  $\mu_j$  is set to  $\infty$ .
- Hint: try running  $K$ -means from various initializations  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  to get some intuition; for example, if we initialize  $\mu_1 = 1$  and  $\mu_2 = 9$ , then we converge to  $\mu_1 = -1$  and  $\mu_2 = 10$ .