

Bayesian networks: forward-backward



• In this module, I will introduce the forward-backward algorithm for performing efficient and exact inference in Hidden Markov models, an important special case of Bayesian networks.

Hidden Markov models for object tracking



- Let us revisit our object tracking example, now through the lens of HMMs. Recall that each time i, an object is at a location H_i , and what we observe is a noisy observation E_i . The goal is to infer where the object is / was.
- We define a probabilistic story as follows: An object starts at H_1 uniformly drawn over all possible locations.
- Then at each subsequent time step, the object transitions from the previous time step, keeping the same location with 1/2 probability, and moves to an adjacent location each with 1/4 probability. For example, if $p(h_3 = 3 | h_2 = 3) = 1/2$ and $p(h_3 = 2 | h_2 = 3) = 1/4$.
- At each time step, we also **emit** a sensor reading E_i given the actual location H_i , following the same process as transitions (1/2 probability of the same location, 1/4 probability of an adjacent location).
- Recall that finally, we define a joint distribution over all the actual locations H_1, \ldots, H_n and sensor readings E_1, \ldots, E_n by taking the product of all the local conditional probabilities.

Inference questions



Question (**filtering**):

$$\mathbb{P}(H_2 \mid E_1 = 0, E_2 = 2)$$

Question (**smoothing**):

 $\mathbb{P}(H_2 \mid E_1 = 0, E_2 = 2, E_3 = 2)$

Note: filtering is a special case of smoothing if marginalize unobserved leaves

- In principle, you could ask any type of questions on an HMM, but there are two common ones: filtering and smoothing.
- Filtering asks for the distribution of some hidden variable H_i conditioned on only the evidence up until that point. This is useful when you're doing real-time object tracking, and you can't see the future.
- Smoothing asks for the distribution of some hidden variable H_i conditioned on all the evidence, including the future. This is useful when you have collected all the data and want to retrospectively go and figure out what H_i was.
- Note that filtering is a special case of smoothing: if we're asking for H_i given E_1, \ldots, E_i , then we can marginalize everything in the future (since they are just unobserved leaf nodes), reducing the problem to a smaller HMM, where we are smoothing.

Lattice representation



• Edge start
$$\Rightarrow$$
 $H_1 = h_1$ has weight $p(h_1)p(e_1 \mid h_1)$

• Edge
$$H_{i-1} = h_{i-1} \Rightarrow H_i = h_i$$
 has weight $p(h_i \mid h_{i-1})p(e_i \mid h_i)$

• Each path from start to end is an assignment with weight equal to the product of edge weights

Key: $\mathbb{P}(H_i = h_i \mid E = e)$ is the weighted fraction of paths through $|H_i = h_i|$

- The forward-backward algorithm is based on a form of dynamic programming.
- To develop this, we consider a **lattice representation** of HMMs. Consider a directed graph (not to be confused with the HMM) with a start node, an end node, and a node for each assignment of a value to a variable $H_i = v$. The nodes are arranged in a lattice, where each column corresponds to one variable H_i and each row corresponds to a particular value v. Each path from the start to the end corresponds exactly to a complete assignment to the nodes.
- Each edge has a weight (a single number) determined by the local conditional probabilities (more generally, the factors in a factor graph). For each edge into $H_i = h_i$, we multiply by the transition probability into h_i and emission probability $p(e_i | h_i)$.
- This defines a weight for each path (assignment) in the graph equal to the joint probability P(H = h, E = e).
- Note that the lattice contains O(n|Domain|) nodes and $O(n|\text{Domain}|^2)$ edges, where n is the number of variables and |Domain| is the number of values in the domain of each variable (3 in our example).
- Now comes the key point. Recall we want to compute a smoothing question $\mathbb{P}(H_i = h_i \mid E = e)$. This quantity is simply the weighted fraction of paths that pass through $H_i = h_i$. This is just a way of visualizing the definition of the smoothing question.
- There are an exponential number of paths, so it's intractable to enumerate all of them. But we can use dynamic programming...

Forward and backward messages



- First, define the forward message $F_i(v)$ to be the sum of the weights over all paths from the start node to $H_i = v$. This can be defined recursively: any path that goes $H_i = h_i$ will have to go through some $H_{i-1} = h_{i-1}$, so we can sum over all possible values of h_{i-1} .
- Analogously, let the backward message $B_i(v)$ be the sum of the weights over all paths from $H_i = v$ to the end node.
- Finally, define $S_i(v)$ to be the sum of the weights over all paths from the start node to the end node that pass through the intermediate node $X_i = v$. This quantity is just the product of the weights of paths going into $H_i = h_i$ ($F_i(h_i)$) and those leaving it ($B_i(h_i)$).
- This is analogous to factoring: (a + b)(c + d) = ab + ad + bc + bd.
- Note: $F_1(h_1) = p(h_1)p(e_1 = 0 | h_1)$ and $B_n(h_n) = 1$ are base cases, which don't require the recurrence.

Putting everything together



Running time: $O(n|\text{Domain}|^2)$

[demo]

- Now the smoothing question $\mathbb{P}(H_i = h_i \mid E = e)$ is just equal to the normalized version of S_i .
- The algorithm is thus as follows: for each node $H_i = h_i$, we compute three numbers: $F_i(h_i), B_i(h_i), S_i(h_i)$. First, we sweep forward to compute all the F_i 's recursively. At the same time, we sweep backward to compute all the B_i 's recursively. Then we compute S_i by pointwise multiplication.
- The running time of the algorithm is $O(n|\text{Domain}|^2)$, which is the number of edges in the lattice.
- In the demo, we are running the variable elimination algorithm, which is a generalization of the forward-backward algorithm for arbitrary Markov networks. As you step through the algorithm, you can see that the algorithm first computes a forward message F_2 and then a backward message B_2 , and then it multiplies everything together and normalizes to produce $\mathbb{P}(H_2 \mid E_1 = 0, E_2 = 2, E_3 = 2)$. The names and details don't match up exactly, so you don't need to look too closely.
- Implementation note: we technically can normalize S_i to get $\mathbb{P}(H_i \mid E = e)$ at the very end but it's useful to normalize F_i and B_i at each step to avoid underflow. In addition, normalization of the forward messages yields $\mathbb{P}(H_i = v \mid E_1 = e_1, \dots, E_i = e_i)$ which are exactly the filtering queries!







- Lattice representation: paths are assignments
- Dynamic programming: compute sums efficiently
- Forward-backward algorithm: compute all smoothing questions, share intermediate computations

- In summary, we have presented the forward-backward algorithm for probabilistic inference in HMMs, in particular smoothing queries.
- The algorithm is based on the lattice representation in which each path is an assignment, and the weight of path is the joint probability.
- Smoothing is just then asking for the weighted fraction of paths that pass through a given node.
- Dynamic programming can be used to compute this quantity efficiently.
- This is formalized using the forward-backward algorithm, which consists of two sets of recurrences.
- Note that the forward-backward algorithm gives you the answer to all the smoothing questions ($\mathbb{P}(H_i = h_i \mid E = e)$ for all *i*), because the intermediate computations are all shared.